
## APPENDIX E: Public Engagement Summary



Comments on the Draft Bear Creek Watershed Management Study (Study) were received during the public comment period (February 21, 2018 through March 21, 2018) via an online questionnaire, email and handwritten submittals, and at the public meeting on March 7, 2018. The themes of these comments are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Themes of written and verbal comments at the Public Meeting 3 and from online and email submittals.

submittals.			
Themes	How Addressed in the Study		
Community members were excited about what they can do to help improve the watershed and reduce runoff through tree planting, reducing use of chemicals and rain barrels and volunteering to plan trees, etc.	Public engagement is a recommended strategy to train and supports local community members to effectively participate and impact the Study goals. The program will include methods to connect the community to funding and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that support small projects and volunteers for stream monitoring. Chapters 4 to 7 of the Study present some non-structural strategies that the community members can participate in to help improve water quality and habitat in the watershed.		
Provide general education and community awareness of the importance of the Bear Creek watershed, the value of a more natural looking streams and "backyard" areas, and how to get more involved.	As above, the Study recommends to educate the residents and visitors on the importance of the watershed through an outreach program. Efforts to conserve and restore lands to a more natural setting are a key recommendation of providing healthy habitat and clean water. These efforts may be through wetland, riparian and instream restoration efforts and conservation of healthy land located along the streams. Chapters 5 through 7 address these types of recommendations. In addition, community members will be able to be a part of this effort by planting trees on their own land or volunteering on local tree planting projects.		
There were a few strong comments about the importance of future coordination when beginning to implement the Study with various stakeholders already involved with local conservation and restoration outreach and projects, communities and groups already actively partaking in local stormwater and water quality concerns, and residents wanting to partake in the outreach process of the Study once it is implemented. Some groups mentioned were Water Tenders, tribes, Forterra, Willowmoor community group, etc.	Various stakeholders and the public have been involved with partaking in workshops and providing comments (Appendix E of the Study documents this process). The Study recommends to continue with the Partners and to have an open approach with the various stakeholders and community interest groups. In addition, many complementary efforts where these relationships are already ongoing will help to further along the process for the stormwater management study.		

Themes	How Addressed in the Study
Many people gave favorable opinions about stormwater ponds. They preferred natural looking ponds. Some expressed a desire to have community use of the area, clean and maintained areas, and concerns about safety for children.	Involvement of the local community in stormwater facility siting and design is a recommendation of the Study. Project managers are recommended to include local residents and incorporate their input.
Interest was expressed in getting technical assistance, design plans, and financial assistance to install raingardens. Some interest expressed in technical and financial assistance for a cistern, tree planting, and invasive plant removal.	A program with incentives, technical assistance, and rebates is recommended for green stormwater infrastructure on private land for private property owners to disconnect impervious surfaces from direct drainage systems and infiltrate or disperse runoff via small scale BMP (Chapter 4 of the Study).
One commenter asked when the strategies and catchments identified for after the near-term would be completed.	Following the completion of the identified near-term actions, it is recommended that the implementation plan be updated based on the successes and challenges found during the first 10 years.
One commenter questioned how the jurisdictions will use their authorities to improve stormwater management from new development? They also questioned why an in-lieu fee was proposed.	Existing stormwater code requirements ( <i>Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington</i> or equivalent) are believed to adequately mitigate stormwater runoff as those sites. However, at locations where the adequate stormwater management controls are not feasible, the Study recommends that developers pay an in-lieu fee. The collection these fees would be used for regional stormwater facilities or habitat restoration.
A few members of audience at the public meeting expressed concern about the development growth and how this issue feeds back into the work done on the Study.	This was addressed in the <u>public meeting</u> <sup>1</sup> . The City of Redmond and other jurisdictions have stormwater management programs that include stormwater fees as well as complementary efforts. All municipalities in Washington State are required to account for population growth and this is done as part of development of their Comprehensive Land Use plans. Stormwater mitigation for new development is 100 percent paid for by the developer.

 $<sup>^1\,</sup>https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/public3/BCP_public3_Notes.pdf$ 

Themes	How Addressed in the Study
One commenter asked how the strategies aligned with the load allocations defined by the Bear Creek TMDL.	The load allocations from the TMDL and prioritized strategies were constructed under a different set of goals and with different models.
A few individuals expressed concerns about possible flooding from wetlands on neighboring properties.	Restoring vegetation around wetlands will not cause additional flooding.
A few individuals expressed concerns about the unintended impacts and damage caused by beavers to flooding of homes and roads.	There are a few different solutions that may allow property owners, vegetation, and the local beavers to all co-exist. There are various engineered solutions available for property owners to review on the King County (KC) beaver management website. <sup>2</sup>
There were a few members of the audience at the Public Meeting concerned about whether we would be assessing the conditions of land before we implemented these projects. There was a concern mentioned around unintentionally saturating the ground and causing landslides, diseased trees and in other cases trees that remove high volumes of water from the ground. Climate change was mentioned a few times with respect to increased stormwater.	In general, any future work will require feasibility assessments as there are many things to consider at each location. If a method is found to not be feasible, we must come up with another strategy. With respect to climate change, we noted that we are working with UW and the Climate Impacts Group, and have modelled the impacts for KC. This work is part of a later phase in another study.
One concern was expressed that a 165 ft buffer along the stream was too much.	This is a regulated critical area. (KCC 21A.24)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/beavers

Themes	How Addressed in the Study
A property owner expressed concern about the unintended impacts by the public accessing streams when salmon are spawning.	As above, the Study recommends to educate the residents and visitors on the importance of the watershed through an outreach program.
One person questioned if there would be a special purpose district to fund the implementation of the Study.	This was addressed at the <u>public meeting</u> <sup>3</sup> . There is no intent for new taxing districts.
Forterra suggested using their prioritization method of parcels for planting projects, conservation and strategic communication efforts.	The partners look forward to partnering with Forterra as part of the riparian and wetland conservation effort in Bear Creek. The project team values the prioritization method employed by Forterra and recommends their methods be evaluated and combined with the Study's method in future prioritization efforts.
One commenter questioned why Evans Creek and lower Bear Creek were excluded.	Evans Creeks and Bear Creek downstream of the confluence with Evans Creek were excluded from the Bear Creek Watershed Management Study. Their exclusion was to increase the feasibility of this novel effort for multi-jurisdictional watershed management. Expansion to Evans Creek and lower Bear Creek is recommended in future watershed planning efforts.

Efforts were made to reach a broad group of stakeholders and residents in the project area and to generate interest to partake in online forums, technical presentations, workshops, webinars, and community and public meetings included email notifications to group audiences, direct mailings, news releases, local print ads and notification through online webpages (articles, newsletters, event calendars, social media, and local news). Table 2 is a summary of these public engagement elements.

 $<sup>^3\</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/public3/BCP_public3_Notes.pdf$ 

Table 2. Summary of public engagement elements.

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent		
PARTNER MEETINGS	PARTNER MEETINGS						
Partners: King County (KC), Snohomish County, City of Redmond, City of Woodinville, Washington State DOT	<ul> <li>Determine specific engagement goals and messaging for each of the meetings, based on stakeholder input and Bear Creek Watershed Management Study (Study) status</li> <li>Ensure alignment and support for public and stakeholder engagement</li> </ul>	Monthly, beginning 02/2015 – 3/27/2018	Partners	n/a	3-10		
WEBINARS							
Technical Webinar #14	Update on existing conditions     Share study findings on watershed modeling, land-use conditions, regulations and existing stormwater infrastructure and juvenile salmon habitat use	11/15/2016	Partners, stakeholders (Bear Creek residents, NGOs <sup>5</sup> , tribes, state & local government)	Attendees mostly asked clarifying questions about technical and scientific data, methods to review and incorporate data, and understanding the differences between jurisdictions. There was a strong concern expressed about the methodology used on fish habitat survey and suggestions were given. Extensive follow up communication occurred post meeting on this topic.	45		
Technical Webinar #26	<ul> <li>Update on existing conditions</li> <li>Share study findings on water quality, B-IBI, wetland and riparian land cover assessments</li> </ul>	12/12/2016	As above	Attendees asked clarifying questions about technical and scientific data, methods to review and incorporate data, and expressed interest in being able to review data. All data is publically available online.	25		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> non-governmental organizations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshope

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
WORKSHOPS			l		
Technical Workshop #17	<ul> <li>Introduce Study goals and the role of the Partners</li> <li>To generate helpful information on the project goals and current conditions</li> <li>To help build understanding among different perspectives among participants</li> <li>Share information on Existing and Future Conditions, Regulations and Existing Stormwater Infrastructure, Strategies Under Consideration and Related Stormwater Management Efforts</li> </ul>	11/4/2015	Partners, stakeholders (Bear Creek residents, NGOs, tribes, state & local government)	Attendees expressed high importance of the project to their work and the community. There were strong suggestions that the Study include more than just stormwater regulations/ constructed BMP solutions and focus on fish recovery and that it have measurable goals. Attendees provided pros and cons on strategies. A detailed summary <sup>8</sup> is provided on the project document webpage.	27
Technical Workshop #29	<ul> <li>Give update on example problems and solutions</li> <li>Gather input from stakeholders through exercises</li> </ul>	3/29/2017	As above	Attendees asked clarifying questions about technical information on the key findings and solutions (including the process and criteria used to identify solutions). Table discussions provided a wide range of comments. A <a href="detailed summary">detailed summary</a> is provided on the project document webpage.	36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopa

<sup>8</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/Tech1-Notes.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopf

<sup>10</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/tech2/tech2\_notes.pdf

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
PUBLIC MEETINGS			<b>.</b>		
Public Meeting #1 <sup>11</sup>	<ul> <li>Introduction to project</li> <li>Introduce process for developing the Study, gathering community input, and evaluating current conditions</li> <li>Brief introduction to strategies under considerations.</li> </ul>	2/25/2016	Public, stakeholders and partners	Attendees asked clarifying questions about technical data and modeling methods. A few suggested more controls on development and clear cutting, having more stringent standards, and some had concerns about the costs. There was a suggestion towards more preservation and buffering of creeks. A detailed summary <sup>12</sup> is provided on the project document webpage.	35
Public Meeting #2 <sup>13</sup>	<ul> <li>Update on existing conditions</li> <li>Share the study findings, i.e. specific water quality problems being addressed</li> <li>Solicit input about potential toolbox of stormwater mitigation strategies</li> </ul>	10/13/2016	As above	Attendees mostly asked clarifying questions about technical and scientific data, costs and technical details of different strategies and gave feedback on various approaches. Attendees generally favored effective and integrated approaches. There was strong support for preservation and a desire to continue public engagement. A detailed summary <sup>14</sup> is provided on the project document webpage.	36

<sup>11</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopb

 $<sup>^{12}\,</sup>https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/Public1-Notes.pdf$ 

<sup>13</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopc

<sup>14</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/Public2/Public2-Notes.pdf

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
Public Meeting #3 <sup>15</sup>	<ul> <li>Presentation of draft Study</li> <li>Share recommendations</li> <li>Provide time for public comments</li> </ul>	3/7/2018	Public, stakeholders and partners	Attendees mostly asked detailed questions about recommendations (such as raingardens, drywells, ponds) and restoration methods, general questions about increased development and regulations, and generally gave favorable feedback on the outreach methods and recommendations. A summary of the themes of comments are presented in Table 1 of this Appendix. A detailed summary 16 of the comments and questions during the question and answer period of Public Meeting is provided on the project document webpage.	74
ONLINE FORUM					
KC County Online Forum <sup>17</sup>	<ul> <li>Share Draft Study</li> <li>Share recommendations</li> <li>Seek comments on draft Study</li> </ul>	2/15/2018 - 3/21/2018	All	The comments from this forum were included in the summary in Table 1 of this Appendix. A <u>detailed listing</u> <sup>18</sup> of the responses is provided on online forum webpage.	47 visitors, 3 responses

<sup>15</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopg

<sup>16</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/public3/BCP\_public3\_Notes.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> https://www.peakdemocracy.com/5976

<sup>18</sup> https://www.peakdemocracy.com/5976

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent			
King County IN-PERSO	King County IN-PERSON PRESENTATIONS / CROSS PROMOTION EVENTS							
American Public Works Association Stormwater Managers Committee WA Chapter	Technical presentation	9/18/2015	Technical water experts	n/a	~30			
American Water Resources Association WA Chapter	Technical presentation entitled "Using SUSTAIN (and HSPF) to Maximize Efficiencies in the Management of Stormwater.	5/16/2017	Scientists, planners, engineers	n/a	~30			
Bear Creek /Sammamish Valley Community Service Area	Attended meeting and give short statement and share related handouts.	11/2015 6/05/2017	Bear Creek & Sammamish Valley residents	n/a	~30, 45			
City of Redmond Public Meeting for Monticello Creek (Firehouse #17)	Attended meeting and give short statement about the upcoming Public Meeting 2 on October 13, 2016.	9/22/2016	Redmond residents	n/a	~30			
DNRP WLRD Science Seminars	Gave presentations on the Study project and study findings: "Juvenile Chinook habitat use in the Bear Creek Watershed <sup>19</sup> "; Water Quality Status and Trends in Bear Creek <sup>20</sup>	11/7/2016 11/2/2017	Local government, utilities, universities, public, NGOs	n/a	80 plus			

 $<sup>^{19}\</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/science/seminar-2016/S1-2-Juvenile-Chinook-Habitat-Bear-Creek-Kubo.pdf$   $^{20}\ http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/science/seminar-2017/S1-2-bear-creek-watershed-clark.pdf$ 

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent			
King County IN-PERSO	King County IN-PERSON PRESENTATIONS / CROSS PROMOTION EVENTS (continued)							
Upper Bear Creek Community Unincorporated Area Council (Woodinville Library)	Gave short announcements about upcoming public meetings and gave project status updates.	2/23/2016 9/27/2016 3/28/2017 3/13/2018	Upper Bear Creek residents and stakeholders	n/a	15, ~15, 15, ~15			
KC Water and Land Resources Division Science Section meeting	Presentations on the Study project and study findings	1/4/2017	Science Section employees	n/a	45			
WRIA 8 Implementation Committee Meeting	Technical presentation "Bear Creek Watershed Stormwater Management Study" and update on status of project	9/1/2015 3/6/2018	Scientists, planners		72, 19			
WRIA 8 Technical Committee Meeting	Technical presentation "Bear Creek Watershed Stormwater Management Study" and update on status of project	9/1/2015 2/14/2018	As above	n/a	Less than 72			
WRIA 8 Salmon Recovery Council Meeting	Technical presentation on " <u>Juvenile</u> <u>Salmon Habitat Use in the Bear Creek</u> <u>Watershed</u> <sup>21</sup> "; " <u>Draft Bear Creek</u> <u>Watershed Management Study</u> " <sup>22</sup>	1/19/2017 3/15/2018	Scientists, planners, elected officials	n/a	41, 38			

 $<sup>^{21}\,</sup>http://www.govlink.org/watersheds/8/committees/1701/W8_SRC_Bear_Creek_JK_1_19_17.pdf$   $^{22}\,http://www.govlink.org/watersheds/8/committees/1803/Bear_Creek_watershed_Plan_WRIA8Councilmeeting.pdf$ 

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
PROJECT WEBPAGE	UPDATES				
Bear Creek Watershed Management Study project webpage <sup>23</sup> populated and maintained	<ul><li>Update on process</li><li>Provide resources</li></ul>	2015 and ongoing	All	n/a	n/a
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from Technical Webinar #1 <sup>24</sup> posted	<ul> <li>Inform all on presentations shared at event</li> <li>Submit for recordkeeping in KC TDRC library for future access</li> </ul>	11/15/2016	All	n/a	n/a
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from m Technical Webinar #2 <sup>25</sup> posted	As above	12/12/2016	All	n/a	n/a
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from Technical Workshop #1 <sup>26</sup> posted	As above	11/2015	All	n/a	n/a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> http://www.kingcounty.gov/bearcreekstudy

 $<sup>{\</sup>it 24 https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek/stormwater-plan/documents.aspx\#workshopd}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshope

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopa

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent		
PROJECT WEBPAGE	PROJECT WEBPAGE UPDATES (continued)						
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from Technical Workshop #2 <sup>27</sup> posted	<ul> <li>Inform all on presentations shared at event</li> <li>Submit for recordkeeping in KC TDRC library for future access</li> </ul>	3/29/2017	All	n/a	n/a		
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from m Public Meeting #1 <sup>28</sup> posted	As above	2/2016	All	n/a	n/a		
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from Public Meeting #2 <sup>29</sup> posted	As above	10/2016	All	n/a	n/a		
Handouts, Notes and Presentations from Public Meeting #3 <sup>30</sup> posted	As above	3/22/2018	All	n/a	n/a		

 $<sup>^{27}\</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx\#workshopf$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopb

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopc

<sup>30</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx#workshopg

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent			
PROJECT WEBPAGE	PROJECT WEBPAGE UPDATES (continued)							
Current Conditions reports <sup>31</sup> posted	Update the public with posted reports:  Bear Creek Watershed-Scale Stormwater Plan: Existing Water Quality Conditions <sup>32</sup> Analysis of Long-term Trends in Bear Creek Water Quality <sup>33</sup> Assessment of Bear Creek Watershed Riparian Areas <sup>34</sup> Assessment of Bear Creek Watershed Wetlands <sup>35</sup> Bear Creek Watershed Juvenile Salmon Habitat Use <sup>36</sup> Benthic Macroinvertebrate Status and Trends in the Bear Creek Study Area <sup>37</sup> Stormwater Regulations and History Report <sup>38</sup>	5/2017 6/2017 10/2017	All	n/a	n/a			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx

<sup>32</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2874/kcr2873.pdf

<sup>33</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2875/kcr2874.pdf

 $<sup>^{34}\,</sup>http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2876/kcr2875.pdf$ 

<sup>35</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2876/kcr2876.pdf

<sup>36</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2877/kcr2877.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2878/kcr2878.pdf

<sup>38</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2017/kcr2937/kcr2937.pdf

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
PROJECT WEBPAGE	UPDATES (continued)				
Draft Study posted	<ul> <li>Provide draft Study for public review</li> <li>Inform on upcoming Public Meeting 3 date and location, share agenda, related FAQ</li> <li>Submit for recordkeeping in KC TDRC library for future access</li> </ul>	2/21/2018 - 4/4/2018	All	n/a	n/a
Final Study <sup>39</sup> posted	<ul> <li>Notify public of submitted Study</li> <li>Submit for recordkeeping in KC TDRC library for future access.</li> </ul>	4/4/2018	All	n/a	n/a
EMAIL CAMPAIGNS					
KC employee email distribution list	Inform on Technical Workshop 2.	3/2017	KC employees	n/a	10,000 plus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/services/environment/watersheds/sammamish/bear-creek/bear-creek-stormwater-plan/documents.aspx

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
EMAIL CAMPAIGNS (d	continued)				
Partners and stakeholders email list	Inform on project updates, meeting notifications, news items and other milestones through Save the Date, Invite and Reminder emails.	02/2016 10/2016 3/2017 4/2017 5/2017 2/15/2018 4/4/2018	Partners, stakeholders (Bear Creek residents, NGOs, tribes, state and local government)	n/a	160
KC DNRP Executive's Weekly Summary Report	Updates of significant milestones to the KC DNRP and WLRD management teams.	10/2016 11/2016 3/2017 2/13/2018 3/16/2018 4/3/2018	KC DNRP management team, WLRD staff	n/a	400 plus
KC EcoNet <sup>40</sup> and STORM NET	As above	2/21/2018	Stakeholders that collaborate to improve environmental and community health	n/a	800 plus

<sup>40</sup> http://www.kingcoeconet.org/

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
HARD COPY DISTRIBU	JTION				
Direct mailer "How healthy is Bear Creek? <sup>41</sup> " and handout at Public Meeting 2	<ul> <li>Inform on watershed planning process and provide resources for all interested parties</li> <li>Given to City of Redmond and Woodinville for distribution</li> <li>Provided at: Woodinville Library, Woodinville City Hall, Redmond City Hall, Maltby Community Club, Redmond Senior Center, Kiosk–Redmond Watershed, KC Trails, Paradise Valley Conservation Area, and Equestrian trails</li> <li>Posted online</li> </ul>	9/26/2016 10/13/2016	Bear Creek residents and homeowners, Partners (for distribution within their communities)	n/a	11,039
Postcard mailer and "Save the Date" handout42 at Public Meeting 2	As above	As above	As above	n/a	As above

 $<sup>^{41}\</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/BCP-Brochure.pdf$   $^{42}\ https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/water-and-land/watersheds/sammamish/BC-Stormwater-Plan-related/public2/BCP_Public-2_handout.pdf$ 

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
HARD COPY DISTRIBU	JTION (continued)				
Postcard mailer "Save the Date" for Public Meeting 3	<ul> <li>Inform on Public Meeting information</li> <li>Inform on public comment period</li> <li>Inform on where to review draft Study and where to submit comments</li> </ul>	2/16/2018	Bear Creek residents and homeowners, Partners (for distribution within their communities)	n/a	11,366
Bear Creek Watershed Management <u>Study</u> <u>Summary</u> <sup>43</sup>	Eight page summary of the Study shared with officials, public meeting attendees, and the online public	2/16/2018 3/7/2018	As above	n/a	100 plus
PRINT ADS					
Woodinville Weekly (English and Spanish) and posted in online newsletter	<ul> <li>Posting relevant and interesting project updates/meeting notices</li> <li>Broadcasting updates to website</li> </ul>	10/7/2016	All	n/a	22,537

<sup>43</sup> https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2018/kcr2955.pdf

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
King County MEDIA R	ELEASE				
KC DNRP Media Release (Spanish for the 2018 releases)	<ul> <li>Posting relevant, and interesting project updates and meeting notices</li> <li>Broadcasting updates to webpage</li> <li>Encourage partners to reshare</li> <li>Inform residents of upcoming events and deliverables</li> <li>Notify through Redmond Reporter, Woodinville Weekly, Redmond Patch, Woodinville Patch, Seattle Times, Everett Herald, The Snohomish Tribune, City of Redmond, City of Woodinville, Snohomish County</li> </ul>	10/2016 2/21/2018 <sup>44</sup> 3/6/2018 <sup>45</sup>	All	n/a	All
King County ONLINE I	POSTINGS				
KC Main page and events calendar	As above	2/21/2018	All	n/a	n/a
KC DNRP Green Blog	As above	10/10/2016 <sup>46</sup>	All	n/a	50 views

<sup>44</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/dnrp/newsroom/newsreleases/2018/February/21-Bear-Creek-watershed-plan.aspx

<sup>45</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/dnrp/newsroom/newsreleases/2018/March/06-bear-creek-espanol.aspx 46 https://kingcountygreen.com/2016/10/10/how-healthy-is-bear-creek-its-time-to-find-out/

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
King County ONLINE F	POSTINGS (continued)				
KC Main and DNRP Twitter "What's Up with Stormwater" <sup>47</sup> (2016) page postings	As above	10/10/2016 2/21/2018 <sup>48</sup>	All	n/a	n/a
KC DNRP Facebook English pages	As above	10/13/2016 <sup>49</sup>	All	n/a	n/a
KC DNRP Facebook Spanish pages	As above	10/13/2016 <sup>50</sup>	All	n/a	n/a
Puget Sound Starts Here_online posting	As above	<u>3/6/2018</u> <sup>51</sup>	All	n/a	n/a
Unincorporated Areas (UA) Community News post	As above	10/2016 <sup>52</sup> 11/2016 <sup>53</sup> 2/16/2018 <sup>54</sup>	Bear Creek residents	n/a	n/a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> https://twitter.com/KCDNRP/status/785614255106043905

<sup>48</sup> https://twitter.com/KCDNRP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> https://www.facebook.com/events/1260259020672096

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> https://www.facebook.com/events/261898370878291/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> https://www.facebook.com/events/108969273246171/

<sup>52</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/newsletters/ua-news-102016.htm

<sup>53</sup> http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/newsletters/ua-news-112016.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> https://aqua.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/newsletters/ua-news.htm

Elements	Purpose	Date	Audience	Summary of Feedback or Questions	# of Attendees or notices sent
OTHERS ONLINE POS	TINGS				
City of Redmond "Focus on Redmond" article	As above	10/20/2016 <sup>55</sup>	Redmond residents	n/a	n/a
NextDoor calendar posting	Inform on date and location of Public Meeting 2	10/20/2016	Bear Creek residents	n/a	n/a
Woodinville Weekly calendar posting	As above	2/15/2016 <sup>56</sup> 10/05/2016 <sup>57</sup> 10/10/2016 <sup>58</sup> 2/13/2017 <sup>59</sup> 2/21/2018 <sup>60</sup>	Woodinville residents	n/a	200-2000 weekly
Woodinville Wire News calendar posting	As above	10/1/2016 2/21/2018 <sup>61</sup>	Woodinville residents	n/a	n/a

 $<sup>^{55}\,</sup>https://issuu.com/cityofredmond/docs/2016-fall-focus-web$ 

 $<sup>^{56}\</sup> http://www.nwnews.com/index.php/news-features/news-2/12644-learn-about-the-bear-creek-watershed-scale-stormwater-planular and the state of the state of$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> http://www.nwnews.com/index.php/community/community-events/13710-bear-creek-watershed-water-quality-planning-public-meeting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> http://www.nwnews.com/index.php/community/community-events/13722-community-meeting-oct-13-on-bear-creek-watershed-stormwater-plan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> http://www.nwnews.com/index.php/news-features/news-2/14456-upper-bear-creek-community-council-public-forum

<sup>60</sup> http://nwnews.com/index.php/community/events#/event/7018698-bear-creek-watershed-management-study-public-meeting?radius\_miles=25&location=98072-woodinville&sections=all&date=2018-03-06

<sup>61</sup> http://www.icontact-archive.com/OBEMGylzLCWqkIFL1bHj2u1\_UNMkJiHs?w=3